arXiv:1512.07123v1 [math-ph] 22 Dec 2015

Manuscript submitted to
AIMS' Journals
Volume **X**, Number **0X**, XX **200X**

Website: http://AIMsciences.org

pp. **X–XX**

FUNDAMENTAL GAPS AND ENERGY ASYMPTOTICS OF THE GROSS-PITAEVSKII/NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH REPULSIVE INTERACTION

Weizhu Bao

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076

Xinran Ruan

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076

Abstract. We study asymptotically and numerically fundamental gaps (i.e. difference between the first excited state and the ground state) in energy and chemical potential of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) – nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) with cubic nonlinearity – with repulsive interaction under different trapping potentials including box potential and harmonic potential. Based on our asymptotic and numerical results, we formulate a gap conjecture on the fundamental gaps in energy and chemical potential of the GPE on bounded domains with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition and in the whole space with a convex trapping potential growing at least quadratic in the far field. We extend these results to the GPE on bounded domains with either the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition or periodic boundary condition. Finally, we obtain ground state approximations and their corresponding energy and chemical potential asymptotics of the NLSE with general nonlinearity and different trapping potentials for different parameter regimes and observe bifurcations in the ground states when the power of the nonlinearity goes to ∞. Numerical results are reported to support the asymptotic results.

Dedicated to Professor Peter A. Markowich on the occasion of his 60th birthday

1. **Introduction.** In quantum physics and chemistry as well as solid-state physics, the time-independent Schrödinger equation (in dimensionless form) [6, 26, 31, 40]

$$H\Phi := \left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(\Delta_j + V(\mathbf{r}_j)) + \sum_{1\le j<k\le N} V_{\rm int}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k)\right]\Phi = E\,\Phi, \tag{1.1}$$

was formulated in late 1925 by the Austrian physicist Erwin Schrödinger to mathematically predict the property of a quantum system with N particles (usually atoms, molecules, and subatomic particles whether free, bound or localized). Here $\mathbf{r}_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the spatial coordinate of the j-th particle and Δ_j is the Laplacian operator with respect to the spatial coordinate $\mathbf{r}_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, $\Phi := \Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_N)$ is the wave function of the quantum system, $V(\mathbf{r})$ is a given real-valued potential, $V_{\rm int}(\mathbf{r})$ is a given real-valued interaction kernel for two-body interaction and H is the Hamiltonian operator. When the wave function is normalized as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\Phi|^2 d\mathbf{r}_1 \ldots d\mathbf{r}_N = 1$,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35P30, 35Q55, 65N25.

Key words and phrases. Gross-Pitaevskii equation, nonlinear Schrödinger equation, fundamental gap, ground state, first excited state, energy asymptotics, repulsive interaction.

then E is the total energy of the quantum system with respect to the wave function Φ. The time-independent Schrödinger equation (1.1) which is also an eigenvalue problem in mathematics predicts wave function can form stationary states including ground and excited states [6,26,31,40]. For the hydrogen atom, one can obtain explicitly ground and excited states and their corresponding energies as well as the *energy gap* between the ground and first excited states, which is the energy needed to excite the hydrogen atom from its ground state to its first excited state [31,38]. For a many-body system in condensed-matter physics, quantum chemistry and materials science, the electronic structure (principally the ground state and its energy) can be investigated by the density functional theory (DFT) method which is a computational quantum mechanical modeling method to approximate the time-independent Schrödinger equation (1.1) via the Hohenberg-Köhn theorems [18,27,29,37] and the first excited state and its energy can be obtained via the GW approximation [7,25] and thus the energy gap can be calculated. To find the ground state and its energy as well the energy gap (or band gap) between the ground and first excited states via Eq. (1.1) has been become a fundamental and highly challenging problem in computational quantum physics and chemistry as well as materials simulation and design.

Setting $N = 1$ in (1.1) and carrying out a dimension reduction from three dimensions (3D) to two dimensions (2D) and one dimension (1D) [8, 10, 13, 23, 39], after a rescaling $\mathbf{x} = \sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{r}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (denoted as $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^T$) with $d = 3, 2, 1$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 2^{-d/4}\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1)$, the following time-independent Schrödinger equation has been widely studied in mathematics and its applications, especially in geometric analysis and/or mathematical physics [3–5, 42]

$$L\phi := [-\Delta + V(\mathbf{x})]\,\phi(\mathbf{x}) = E\,\phi(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.2}$$

where $V(\mathbf{x})$ is a given real-valued potential and the operator $L := -\Delta + V(\mathbf{x})$ is called as the Schrödinger operator [4]. If Ω is bounded, then the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition (BC) $\phi(\mathbf{x})|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ needs to be imposed. In this case, we remark here that one can simply define $V(\mathbf{x}) = +\infty$ for $\mathbf{x}$ outside Ω and Eq. (1.2) can be defined in the whole space without BC. If $\inf_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega} V(\mathbf{x}) > -\infty$, i.e. bounded below, without loss of generality, we can always assume that $V(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ when we are interested in the ground and excited states and the energy gap. Under proper assumptions on the potential $V(\mathbf{x})$, the eigenvalues E_g, E_1, E_2, ... of the Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem (1.2) under the normalization condition [4]

$$\|\phi\|_2^2 := \int_\Omega |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 1, \tag{1.3}$$

are real and can be ordered such that $0 < E_g < E_1 < E_2 < \ldots$ with the corresponding eigenfunctions (or stationary states) $\phi_g(\mathbf{x})$, $\phi_1(\mathbf{x})$, $\phi_2(\mathbf{x})$, ... Then $\phi_g(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi_1(\mathbf{x})$ are called as the ground state and the first excited state, respectively; and $\delta_0 := E_1 - E_g$ is usually called as the **fundamental gap** in the literatures [4,5,42]. Assume that Ω is a bounded convex domain and the potential $V \in C(\Omega)$, based on results for special cases, the so-called **gap conjecture** was formulated in the literature [4, 5, 42] as:

$$\delta_0 = E_1 - E_g \geq \frac{3\pi^2}{D^2}, \qquad \text{with} \quad D := \sup_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\Omega} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|. \tag{1.4}$$

The gap conjecture is sharp when $d = 1$, $\Omega = (0, L)$ with $0 < L \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ [4]. Recently, by using the gradient flow and geometric analysis and

assuming that $V(\mathbf{x}) \in C(\Omega)$ to be weakly convex, Andrews and Clutterbuck [3] proved the gap conjecture. In addition, they also showed that, if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and the potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies $D^2V(\mathbf{x}) \geq \gamma^2 I_d$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\gamma > 0$ and I_d the identity matrix in d-dimensions, then the fundamental gap of (1.2) with (1.3) satisfies $\delta_0 := E_1 - E_g \geq \sqrt{2}\gamma$ [3].

In this paper, we will consider the dimensionless time-independent nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) in d-dimensions ($d = 3, 2, 1$) [8, 10, 13, 23, 39, 44]

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(\mathbf{x}) + \beta|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma}\right]\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mu\phi(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\phi := \phi(\mathbf{x})$ is the wave function (or eigenfunction) which is normalized via (1.3), $V := V(\mathbf{x})$ is a given real-valued potential, $\beta \geq 0$ is a dimensionless constant describing the repulsive (defocussing) interaction strength, $\sigma \geq 0$ is for different nonlinearities, and the eigenvalue (or chemical potential in physics literatures) $\mu := \mu(\phi)$ is defined as [8, 10, 23, 39]

$$\mu(\phi) = E(\phi) + \frac{\sigma\beta}{\sigma+1}\int_\Omega |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma+2} d\mathbf{x}, \tag{1.6}$$

with the energy $E := E(\phi)$ defined as [10, 44]

$$E(\phi) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + V(\mathbf{x})|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma+1}|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma+2}\right] d\mathbf{x}. \tag{1.7}$$

Again, if Ω is bounded, then the homogeneous Dirichlet BC $\phi(\mathbf{x})|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ needs to be imposed. Thus the time-independent NLSE (1.5) is a nonlinear eigenvalue problem under the constraint (1.3). It is a mean field model arising from Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) [2, 8, 23, 30] and nonlinear optics [21] as well as many other applications [1, 35, 36, 39, 44], which can be obtained from the Schrödinger equation (1.1) via the Hartree ansatz and mean field approximation [10, 20, 33, 39]. When $\beta = 0$ or $\sigma = 0$, it collapses to the time-independent Schrödinger equation (1.2) via a rescaling $\mathbf{x} \to \sqrt{2}\mathbf{x}$ and $\phi \to 2^{d/4}\phi$; when $\sigma = 1$, the nonlinearity is cubic and it is usually known as the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) [8, 22, 23, 39]; when $\sigma = 2$, the nonlinearity is quintic and it is used to model the Tonks-Girardeau (TG) gas in BEC [24, 32, 33, 45].

The ground state of the NLSE (1.5) is usually defined as the minimizer of the non-convex minimization problem (or constrained minimization problem) [8, 10, 23, 28, 30, 43]

$$\phi_g = \arg\min_{\phi\in S} E(\phi), \tag{1.8}$$

where $S = \{\phi \,|\, \|\phi\|_2^2 := \int_\Omega |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 1,\ E(\phi) < \infty,\ \phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ if } \Omega \text{ is bounded}\}$. Similar to the case when $\sigma = 1$ [10, 34, 46], when $\beta \geq 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ satisfying $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to+\infty} V(\mathbf{x}) = +\infty$, then there exists a ground state $\phi_g \in S$ of the constrained minimization problem (1.8). The ground state can be chosen as nonnegative $|\phi_g|$, i.e. $\phi_g = |\phi_g|e^{i\theta}$ for some constant $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Moreover, the nonnegative ground state $|\phi_g|$ is unique [10, 34]. Thus from now on, we refer the ground state as the nonnegative one. It is easy to see that the ground state ϕ_g satisfies the time-independent NLSE (1.5) and the constraint (1.3), i.e. it is an eigenfunction (or stationary state) of (1.5) with the minimum energy. Any other eigenfunctions of the NLSE (1.5) under the constraint (1.3) whose energies are larger than that of the ground state are usually called as excited states in the

physics literatures [10, 23, 39]. Specifically, the excited state with minimum energy among all the excited states is usually called as the first excited state ϕ_1.

When $\sigma = 1$, i.e. GPE, the ground state has been obtained asymptotically in weakly and strongly interaction regimes, i.e. $0 \le \beta \ll 1$ and $\beta \gg 1$, respectively, for several different trapping potentials [15]; and computed numerically via efficient and accurate numerical methods such as the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference/Fourier pseudo-spectral discretization [9–12]. In fact, for a given $\beta \ge 0$ and assume the potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ be a confinement potential, i.e. $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to+\infty} V(\mathbf{x}) = +\infty$, if we order all the eigenfunctions of the GPE, i.e. (1.5) with $\sigma = 1$, under the constraint (1.3) according to their energies: ϕ_g^β, ϕ_1^β, ϕ_2^β, ... satisfying $E_g(\beta) := E(\phi_g^\beta) < E_1(\beta) := E(\phi_1^\beta) \le E(\phi_2^\beta) \le \ldots$, then it has been shown that $\mu_g(\beta) := \mu(\phi_g^\beta) < \mu_1(\beta) := \mu(\phi_1^\beta)$ [19]. In fact, ϕ_1^β is usually called as the first excited state. We define the **fundamental gaps** in energy and chemical potential of the time-independent GPE, i.e. NLSE (1.5) with $\sigma = 1$, under the constraint (1.3) as

$$\delta_E(\beta) := E(\phi_1^\beta) - E(\phi_g^\beta) > 0, \quad \delta_\mu(\beta) := \mu(\phi_1^\beta) - \mu(\phi_g^\beta) > 0, \quad \beta \ge 0, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\ge 0} \delta_E(\beta), \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\ge 0} \delta_\mu(\beta). \tag{1.10}$$

The main aim of this paper is to study asymptotically and numerically the fundamental gaps of the GPE with different trapping potentials. The main results are summarized here.

Theorem 1.1. *When $\sigma = 1$, $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$ ($d = 1, 2, 3$) satisfying $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\}$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ in (1.5), i.e. GPE with a box potential (or with the homogeneous Dirichlet BC), the fundamental gaps $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are increasing functions for $\beta \ge 0$ and they have the following asymptotics*

$$\delta_E(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + o(\beta), \\ \frac{4A_0}{3L_1}\beta^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_1 + o(1), \end{cases} \quad \delta_\mu(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + o(\beta), & 0 \le \beta \ll 1, \\ \frac{2A_0}{L_1}\beta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{6}{L_1^2} + o(1), & \beta \gg 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^d L_j}}, \quad A_1 = \frac{2}{L_1}\left(\frac{25}{9L_1} + \frac{2}{9}\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{L_j}\right), \quad A_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{2}\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{L_j^2}; \tag{1.12}$$

which immediately imply that

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\ge 0} \delta_E(\beta) \ge \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2}, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\ge 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \ge \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2}. \tag{1.13}$$

Theorem 1.2. *When $\sigma = 1$, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 1, 2, 3$) and $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j^2 x_j^2$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $\gamma_1 = \min\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d\} > 0$ in (1.5), i.e. GPE with a harmonic potential, the fundamental gaps $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are decreasing functions for $\beta \ge 0$ and they have the following asymptotics*

$$\delta_E(\beta) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1 - \frac{B_0}{8}\beta + o(\beta), \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1 + o(1), \end{cases} \quad \delta_\mu(\beta) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1 - \frac{B_0}{4}\beta + o(\beta), & 0 \le \beta \ll 1, \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1 + o(1), & \beta \gg 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

where

$$B_0 = \prod_{j=1}^d \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_j}{2\pi}}, \qquad B_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j, \qquad B_2 = \prod_{j=1}^d \gamma_j; \tag{1.15}$$

which immediately imply that

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_E(\beta) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1. \tag{1.16}$$

Based on above asymptotic results and numerical results in Sections 2&3, we speculate the following **gap conjecture** on the fundamental gaps of the GPE, i.e. the NLSE (1.5) with $\sigma = 1$:

(i) Suppose Ω be a convex bounded domain and the external potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ be convex, we have

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_E(\beta) \geq \frac{3\pi^2}{2D^2}, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \frac{3\pi^2}{2D^2}, \tag{1.17}$$

where $D := \sup_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\Omega} |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|$ is the diameter of Ω.

(ii) Suppose Ω be the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the external potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying $D^2V(\mathbf{x}) \geq \gamma^2 I_d$ for $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\gamma > 0$ a constant, we have

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_E(\beta) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma. \tag{1.18}$$

In addition, the asymptotic results on fundamental gaps in energy and chemical potential are extend to the GPE on a bounded domain with either the periodic BC or the homogeneous Neumann BC. Furthermore, we obtain asymptotic results of the ground state and its energy of the NLSE (1.5) with (1.3) for different β and σ as well as different trapping potentials. Based on these results, we observe a bifurcation of the ground state when the nonlinearity $\sigma \to \infty$ with fixed β under either the box potential or the harmonic potential.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish Theorem 1.1 and study numerically fundamental gaps of the GPE on bounded domains with homogeneous Dirichlet BC. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 and study numerically fundamental gaps of the GPE in the whole space with confinement potentials. The fundamental gaps of the GPE on bounded domains with either periodic or homogeneous Neumann BCs are studied asymptotically and numerically in Section 4. In Section 5, we derive ground state approximations and energy asymptotics of the NLSE (1.5) with the box potential for different β and σ, and similar results are presented in Section 6 for the harmonic potential. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section 7.

2. Fundamental gaps of the GPE on bounded domains with the homogeneous Dirichlet BC.

Consider the time-independent GPE

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(\mathbf{x}) + \beta|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2\right]\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mu\phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}\in\Omega, \qquad \phi(\mathbf{x})|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ $(d = 1, 2, 3)$ is a bounded domain, $V(\mathbf{x})$ is a given convex real function and the wave function ϕ is normalized via (1.3).

2.1. Asymptotic results for box potentials.

Here we take $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$ satisfying $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\} > 0$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ for $\mathbf{x}\in\Omega$ in (2.1).

In this scenario, when $\beta = 0$, all the eigenfunctions can be obtained via the sine series [14, 15]. Thus the ground state $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ and the first excited state $\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})$ can be

given explicitly as [14, 15] for $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}$

$$\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}) = 2^{\frac{d}{2}} A_0 \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_j}{L_j}\right), \quad \phi_1^0(\mathbf{x}) = 2^{\frac{d}{2}} A_0 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi x_1}{L_1}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{d} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_j}{L_j}\right). \quad (2.2)$$

Here we use the assumption $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\} > 0$ and thus the first excited state will be the one which is excited in the x_1-direction. When $0 < \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate the ground state $\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x})$ and the first excited state $\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x})$ by $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})$, respectively. Thus we have

Lemma 2.1. *In the weakly repulsive interaction regime, i.e.* $0 < \beta \ll 1$, *we have*

$$\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^0(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}. \quad (2.3)$$

In addition, the energy and chemical potential of the ground and first excited states can be approximated as

$$E_g(\beta) = A_2 + \frac{3^d A_0^2}{2^{d+1}}\beta + o(\beta), \quad \mu_g(\beta) = A_2 + \frac{3^d A_0^2}{2^d}\beta + o(\beta), \quad 0 \le \beta \ll 1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$E_1(\beta) = \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + A_2 + \frac{3^d A_0^2}{2^{d+1}}\beta + o(\beta), \quad \mu_1(\beta) = \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + A_2 + \frac{3^d A_0^2}{2^d}\beta + o(\beta). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. From (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and noticing (2.2) and $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, we have

$$E_g(\beta) = E(\phi_g^\beta) \approx E(\phi_g^0) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}|\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mu_g(\beta) = \mu(\phi_g^\beta) \approx \mu(\phi_g^0) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \beta|\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$E_1(\beta) = E(\phi_1^\beta) \approx E(\phi_1^0) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}|\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mu_1(\beta) = \mu(\phi_1^\beta) \approx \mu(\phi_1^0) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \beta|\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.9)$$

Substituting (2.2) into (2.6)-(2.9) and noting (1.12), after a detailed computation, we can obtain (2.4) and (2.5) immediately. Details are similar to [14, 15] when $d = 1$ and they are omitted here for brevity. □

On the other hand, when $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, the ground and first excited states can be approximated by the Thomas-Fermi approximations and/or uniformly accurate matched approximations. For $d = 1$ and $\Omega = (0, L)$, these approximations have been given explicitly in the literature [14, 15] as

$$\phi_g(x) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\mu_g}{\beta}}\,\phi_{L,\mu_g}^{(1)}(x), \qquad \phi_1(x) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\beta}}\,\phi_{L,\mu_1}^{(2)}(x), \qquad 0 \le x \le L, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\phi_{L,\mu}^{(1)}(x) = \tanh\left(\sqrt{\mu}x\right) + \tanh\left(\sqrt{\mu}(L-x)\right) - 1, \quad 0 \le x \le L, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\phi_{L,\mu}^{(2)}(x) = \tanh\left(\sqrt{\mu}x\right) - \tanh\left(\sqrt{\mu}(L-x)\right) + \tanh\left(\sqrt{\mu}\,(L/2-x)\right), \quad (2.12)$$

with μ_g and μ_1 in (2.10) determined from the normalization condition (1.3) for the ground state and the first excited state, respectively. These results can be extended

to d-dimensions ($d = 1, 2, 3$) for the approximations of the ground and first excited states.

Lemma 2.2. *In the strongly repulsive interaction regime, i.e. $\beta \gg 1$, we have*

$$\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^{\mathrm{MA}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_g(\beta)}{\beta}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \phi^{(1)}_{L_j,\mu_g}(x_j), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^{\mathrm{MA}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1(\beta)}{\beta}}\, \phi^{(2)}_{L_1,\mu_1}(x_1) \prod_{j=2}^{d} \phi^{(1)}_{L_j,\mu_1}(x_j), \tag{2.14}$$

where $\mu_g := \mu_g(\beta)$ and $\mu_1 := \mu_1(\beta)$ are determined from the normalization condition (1.3). In addition, the energy and chemical potential of the ground and first excited states can be approximated as

$$E_g(\beta) = \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta + \frac{4A_0A_3}{3}\beta^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2A_3^2 - \frac{8A_4}{9} + o(1), \tag{2.15}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta) = A_0^2\beta + 2A_0A_3\beta^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2A_3^2 - A_4 + o(1), \qquad \beta \gg 1, \tag{2.16}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta + \frac{4A_0(A_3L_1+1)}{3L_1}\beta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2(A_3L_1+1)^2}{L_1^2} - \frac{8A_5}{9} + o(1), \tag{2.17}$$

$$\mu_1(\beta) = A_0^2\beta + \frac{2A_0(A_3L_1+1)}{L_1}\beta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2(A_3L_1+1)^2}{L_1^2} - A_5 + o(1), \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$A_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{L_j}, \quad A_4 = 4 \sum_{1\le j<k\le d} \frac{1_{\{d\ge 2\}}}{L_jL_k}, \quad A_5 = A_4 + 4 \sum_{1<j\le d} \frac{1_{\{d\ge 2\}}}{L_1L_j}, \tag{2.19}$$

with $1_{\{d\ge 2\}}$ the standard set function, which takes 1 when $d \ge 2$ and 0 otherwise.

Proof. Similar to the case when $d = 1$ in [14,15], we can obtain (2.13) by using the dimension-by-dimension matched asymptotic approximation of the ground state. Noticing $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \dots, L_d\} > 0$, the first excited state will be the one which is excited in the x_1-direction, and thus we obtain (2.14). Plugging (2.13) and (2.14) into (1.3), noting $\mu_g(\beta) = O(\beta)$ and $\mu_1(\beta) = O(\beta)$, using several approximations which are listed in the Appendix A, we can obtain (2.16) and (2.18), respectively. Substituting (2.13) and (2.14) into (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$, respectively, we get

$$E_g(\beta) = E(\phi_g^\beta) = \mu(\phi_g^\beta) - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega |\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x} \approx \mu_g(\beta) - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega |\phi_g^{\mathrm{MA}}(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = E(\phi_1^\beta) = \mu(\phi_1^\beta) - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega |\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x} \approx \mu_1(\beta) - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega |\phi_1^{\mathrm{MA}}(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.21}$$

Inserting (2.13) and (2.14) into (2.20) and (2.21), respectively, noticing (2.16) and (2.18), we can obtain (2.15) and (2.17). Again, details are similar to [14,15] when $d = 1$ and they are omitted here for brevity. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 When $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, subtracting (2.4) from (2.5), noting (1.9), we obtain (1.11) in this parameter regime. Similarly, when $\beta \gg 1$, subtracting (2.15) and (2.16) from (2.17) and (2.18), respectively, we get (1.11) in this parameter regime. □

From Theorem 1.1, when $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, $\delta_E(\beta) \approx \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2}$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta) \approx \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2}$ which are independent of β. In order to get the dependence of $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ in term of β when $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, we need find more accurate approximations of the ground state ϕ_g^β and ϕ_1^β and obtain the following asymptotic of the fundamental gaps (see its detailed proof in Appendix B).

Lemma 2.3. *When $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, we have*

$$\delta_E(\beta) = \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + G_d^{(1)}\beta^2 + o(\beta^2), \qquad \delta_\mu(\beta) = \frac{3\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + G_d^{(2)}\beta^2 + o(\beta^2), \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$G_d^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{64\pi^2}, & \\ \frac{A_0^4}{64\pi^2}\left(\frac{27}{4}L_1^2 + \frac{3}{A_6(A_6L_1^2+3)}\right), & \\ \frac{1}{256\pi^2}(C_{1,1,1} - C_{2,1,1}), & \end{cases} \quad G_d^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \frac{9}{64\pi^2}, & d=1, \\ \frac{3A_0^4}{64\pi^2}\left(\frac{27}{4}L_1^2 + \frac{3}{A_6(A_6L_1^2+3)}\right), & d=2, \\ \frac{3}{256\pi^2}(C_{1,1,1} - C_{2,1,1}), & d=3, \end{cases}$$

with

$$A_6 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{L_j^2}, \quad C_{k_1,k_2,k_3} = A_0^4\left(81\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{L_j^2}{k_j^2} + 9\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{\frac{k_i^2}{L_i^2}+\frac{k_j^2}{L_j^2}} + \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j^2}{L_j^2}}\right). \tag{2.23}$$

In order to verify the asymptotic results on the fundamental gaps in Theorem 1.1, we solve the time-independent GPE (2.1) numerically by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12] to find the ground and first excited states and their corresponding energy and chemical potentials. Figure 2.1 shows the ground and first excited states for different β in 1D, Figure. 2.2 illustrates definitions of the fundamental gap in energy and chemical potential, i.e. $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$, and Figure 2.3 depicts fundamental gaps in energy obtained numerically and asymptotically in 1D, 2D and 3D.



FIGURE 2.1. Ground states (left) and first excited states (right) of the GPE in 1D with a box potential for different β.

From Figure 2.3, we can see that the asymptotic results in Theorem 1.1 are very accurate in both weakly interaction regime, i.e. $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, and strongly repulsive interaction regime, i.e. $\beta \gg 1$. In addition, our numerical results suggest that both $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are increasing functions for $\beta \ge 0$.



FIGURE 2.2. Energy (left) and chemical potential (right) as well as fundamental gaps of the GPE in 1D with a box potential.

2.2. **Numerical results.** For a general bounded domain Ω and/or $V(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, in general, we cannot get asymptotic results on the fundamental gaps. In this case, we can always find the fundamental gaps numerically. To illustrate this, we take $d = 1$, $\Omega = (0, 2)$ and $V(x) = V_0(x-1)^2$ for $x \in \Omega$ in (2.1), while $V_0 \geq 0$ is a given constant. We compute numerically the ground and first excited states and their corresponding energy and chemical potential as well as the fundamental gaps by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12]. We remark here that for a general bounded domain Ω, one can discretize it in space by the finite element method instead of finite difference or spectral method for the normalized gradient flow to compute the ground and first excited states [16]. Figure 2.4 depicts the ground and first excited states with $V_0 = 10$ for different β, and Figure 2.5 shows the fundamental gaps for different $\beta \geq 0$ and $V_0 \geq 0$.

The numerical results in Figure 2.5 and our additional results in 2D and 3D suggest our conjecture (1.17). In fact, our numerical results suggest a stronger conjecture as

$$\delta_E(\beta) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{3\pi^2}{2D^2}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{81\pi^4|\Omega|}{64D^2}, \\ \frac{4\beta^{1/2}}{3D|\Omega|^{1/2}}, & \beta \geq \frac{81\pi^4|\Omega|}{64D^2}, \end{cases} \quad \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{3\pi^2}{2D^2}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{9\pi^4|\Omega|}{16D^2}, \\ \frac{2\beta^{1/2}}{D|\Omega|^{1/2}}, & \beta \geq \frac{9\pi^4|\Omega|}{16D^2}, \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

where $|\Omega|$ is the volume of Ω. Rigorous mathematical justification for (1.17) and (2.24) is on-going.

3. **Fundamental gaps of the GPE in the whole space.** Consider the time-independent GPE in the whole space

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(\mathbf{x}) + \beta|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2\right]\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mu\phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{3.1}$$

where $V(\mathbf{x})$ is a given function satisfying $D^2V(\mathbf{x}) \geq \gamma^2 I_d$ with $\gamma > 0$ a constant and the wave function ϕ is normalized via (1.3).

3.1. **Asymptotic results for harmonic potentials.** Here we take a harmonic potential, i.e. $V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\gamma_j^2 x_j^2}{2}$ with $\gamma_j > 0$ $(j = 1, \ldots, d)$ satisfying $\gamma_1 = \min\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d\} > 0$.



FIGURE 2.3. Fundamental gaps in energy of the GPE with a box potential in 1D with $\Omega = (0, 2)$ (top), in 2D with $\Omega = (0, 2)^2$ (middle), and in 3D with $\Omega = (0, 2)^3$ (bottom).

In this scenario, when $\beta = 0$, all the eigenfunctions can be obtained via the Hermite functions [14, 15]. Thus the ground state $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ and the first excited state $\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})$ can be given explicitly as [14, 15]

$$\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\gamma_j}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{\gamma_j x_j^2}{2}}, \quad \phi_1^0(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{2\gamma_1} x_1 \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\gamma_j}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{\gamma_j x_j^2}{2}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.2)$$

Here we use the assumption $\gamma_1 = \min\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d\} > 0$ and thus the first excited state will be the one which is excited in the x_1-direction. When $0 < \beta \ll 1$, i.e.



FIGURE 2.4. Ground states (left) and first excited states (right) of the GPE in 1D with $V(x) = 10(x-1)^2$ (dot line) and $\Omega = (0, 2)$ for different β.



FIGURE 2.5. Fundamental gaps in energy (left) and chemical potential (right) of the GPE in 1D with $V(x) = V_0(x-1)^2$ for different V_0 and β.

weakly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate the ground state $\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x})$ and the first excited state $\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x})$ by $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})$, respectively. Thus we have

Lemma 3.1. *In the weakly repulsive interaction regime, i.e.* $0 < \beta \ll 1$, *we have*

$$\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^0(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{3.3}$$

In addition, the energy and chemical potential of the ground and first excited states can be approximated as

$$E_g(\beta) = B_1 + \frac{B_0}{2}\beta + o(\beta), \quad \mu_g(\beta) = B_1 + B_0\beta + o(\beta), \quad 0 \le \beta \ll 1, \tag{3.4}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = \gamma_1 + B_1 + \frac{3B_0}{8}\beta + o(\beta), \quad \mu_1(\beta) = \gamma_1 + B_1 + \frac{3B_0}{4}\beta + o(\beta). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. From (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and noticing (3.2) and $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, we have

$$E_g(\beta) = E(\phi_g^\beta) \approx E(\phi_g^0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_g^0|^2 + V(\mathbf{x})|\phi_g^0|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}|\phi_g^0|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta) = \mu(\phi_g^\beta) \approx \mu(\phi_g^0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_g^0|^2 + V(\mathbf{x})|\phi_g^0|^2 + \beta|\phi_g^0|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = E(\phi_1^\beta) \approx E(\phi_1^0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_1^0|^2 + V(\mathbf{x})|\phi_1^0|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}|\phi_1^0|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mu_1(\beta) = \mu(\phi_1^\beta) \approx \mu(\phi_1^0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_1^0|^2 + V(\mathbf{x})|\phi_1^0|^2 + \beta|\phi_1^0|^4\right] d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.9}$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.6)-(3.9), after a detailed computation, we can obtain (3.4) and (3.5) immediately. Details are similar to [14,15] when $d = 1$ and they are omitted here for brevity. □

On the other hand, when $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, the ground and first excited excited states can be approximated by the Thomas-Fermi (TF) approximation and/or uniformly accurate matched approximation. For $d = 1$ and $V(x) = \frac{\gamma^2 x^2}{2}$ with $\gamma > 0$ a constant, these approximations have been given explicitly in the literature [14,15] as

$$\phi_g(x) \approx \phi_g^{\rm TF}(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_g - \gamma^2x^2/2}{\beta}}, & \gamma^2x^2 \le 2\mu_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\phi_1(x) \approx \phi_1^{\rm MA}(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1 - V(x)}{\beta}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\beta}}\left[\tanh(x_1\sqrt{\mu_1}) - 1\right], & V(x) \le \mu_1 \& x_1 \ge 0, \\ -\sqrt{\frac{\mu_1 - V(x)}{\beta}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\beta}}\left[1 + \tanh(x_1\sqrt{\mu_1})\right], & V(x) \le \mu_1 \& x_1 < 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

where μ_g and μ_1 are determined from the normalization condition (1.3) [14,15]. These results can be extended to d-dimensions ($d = 1, 2, 3$) for the approximations of the ground and first excited states.

Lemma 3.2. *In the strongly repulsive interaction regime, i.e. $\beta \gg 1$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j^2 x_j^2/2$, we have*

$$\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^{\rm TF}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_g^{TF} - V(\mathbf{x})}{\beta}}, & V(\mathbf{x}) \le \mu_g^{\rm TF}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^{\rm MA}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\beta}} + \sqrt{\frac{g_2(\mathbf{x})}{\beta}}\left[\tanh(x_1\sqrt{g_2(\mathbf{x})}) - 1\right], & g_1(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0 \& x_1 \ge 0, \\ -\sqrt{\frac{g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\beta}} + \sqrt{\frac{g_2(\mathbf{x})}{\beta}}\left[1 + \tanh(x_1\sqrt{g_2(\mathbf{x})})\right], & g_1(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0 \& x_1 < 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

where $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mu_1^{MA} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j^2 x_j^2$ and $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = \mu_1^{MA} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^d \gamma_j^2 x_j^2$ and the chemical potentials of the ground and first excited states can be approximated as

$$\mu_g(\beta) \approx \mu_g^{\rm TF} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(d+2)B_2\beta}{C_d}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}}, \quad \mu_1(\beta) \approx \mu_1^{\rm MA} = \mu_g^{\rm TF} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1 + o(1), \tag{3.14}$$

with

$$C_d = \begin{cases} 2, & d = 1, \\ \pi, & d = 2, \\ \frac{4\pi}{3}, & d = 3. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

In addition, the energy of the ground and first excited states can be approximated as

$$E_g(\beta) = \frac{2+d}{4+d}\mu_g^{\rm TF} + o(1), \quad E_1(\beta) = E_g(\beta) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1 + o(1), \qquad \beta \gg 1. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. Similar to the case when $d = 1$ in [14,15], we can obtain the approximation of the ground state (3.12) by using the TF approximation via dropping the diffusion term. Noticing $\gamma_1 = \min\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d\} > 0$, the first excited state will be the one which is excited in the x_1-direction, and thus we obtain (3.13) by the matched asymptotic approximation [14, 15]. Plugging (3.12) and (3.13) into (1.3), we obtain

$$1 = \|\phi_g^\beta\|^2 \approx \|\phi_g^{\rm TF}\|^2 = \frac{C_d}{(2+d)B_2\beta}\left(2\mu_g^{\rm TF}\right)^{\frac{2+d}{2}}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$1 = \|\phi_1^\beta\|^2 \approx \|\phi_1^{\rm MA}\|^2 = \frac{C_d}{(2+d)B_2\beta}\left(2\mu_1^{\rm MA}\right)^{\frac{2+d}{2}} - \frac{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}C_d\gamma_1(\mu_1^{MA})^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\beta B_2}. \tag{3.18}$$

Noting $\mu_1^{\rm MA} \approx \mu_1(\beta) = O(\beta^{2/(2+d)})$, we get (3.14) immediately. Substituting (3.12) into (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_g(\beta) &= \mu_g(\beta) - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x} \approx \mu_g^{\rm TF} - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_g^{\rm TF}(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x}, \\ &= \frac{2+d}{4+d}\mu_g^{\rm TF} = \frac{2+d}{2(4+d)}\left(\frac{(d+2)B_2\beta}{C_d}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\beta) &= \mu_1(\beta) - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x} \approx \mu_1^{\rm MA} - \frac{\beta}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_1^{\rm MA}(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x}, \\ &= E_g^{\rm TF} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1 + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Again, details are similar to [14, 15] when $d = 1$ and they are omitted here for brevity. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. When $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, subtracting (3.4) from (3.5), we obtain (1.14) in this parameter regime. Similarly, when $\beta \gg 1$, subtracting the left equations from the right equations in (3.14) and (3.16), we get (1.11) in this parameter regime. □

Remark 3.1. When $\beta \gg 1$, by carrying out to the next order asymptotic expansion of (3.18), we can obtain the following asymptotics of the fundamental gaps (details are omitted here for brevity)

$$\delta_E(\beta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1 + \frac{\gamma_1^2(d+2)^{\frac{1}{d+2}}}{4}\left(\frac{C_d}{B_2\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}} + o(\beta^{-\frac{2}{d+2}}), \qquad \beta \gg 1, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\delta_\mu(\beta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma_1 + \frac{\gamma_1^2 d(d+2)^{-\frac{d+1}{d+2}}}{4}\left(\frac{C_d}{B_2\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}} + o(\beta^{-\frac{2}{d+2}}), \qquad \beta \gg 1. \tag{3.22}$$



FIGURE 3.1. Ground states (left) and first excited states (right) of the GPE in 1D with a harmonic potential $V(x) = x^2/2$ (dot line) for different β.

In order to verify the asymptotic results on the fundamental gaps in Theorem 1.2, we solve the time-independent GPE (3.1) numerically by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12] to find the ground and first excited states and their corresponding energy and chemical potentials. Figure 3.1 shows the ground and first excited states with $\gamma_1 = 1$ for different β in 1D, and Figure 3.2 depicts fundamental gaps in energy obtained numerically and asymptotically (cf. Eqs. (1.14), (3.21) and (3.22)) in 1D, 2D and 3D.

From Figure 3.2, we can see that the asymptotic results in Theorem 1.2 are very accurate in both weakly interaction regime, i.e. $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, and strongly repulsive interaction regime, i.e. $\beta \gg 1$. In addition, our numerical results suggest that both $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are decreasing functions for $\beta \ge 0$.

3.2. **Numerical results.** For a general potential $V(\mathbf{x})$, in general, we cannot get asymptotic results on the fundamental gaps. In this case, we can always find the fundamental gaps numerically. To illustrate this, we take $d = 1$ and $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + V_0 \cos(kx)$ in (3.1), while V_0 and k are two given constants. We compute numerically the ground and first excited states and their corresponding energy and chemical potential as well as the fundamental gaps by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12]. Figure 3.3 depicts the ground and first excited states with $V_0 = 0.5$ and $k = 1$ for different β, and Figure 3.4 shows the fundamental gaps in energy and chemical potential for different $\beta \ge 0$, $V_0 > 0$ and $k > 0$ satisfying $V_0 k^2 = 0.5$, which implies $V''(x) \ge 0.5$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and thus $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ in (1.18).

The numerical results in Figure 3.4 and our additional results in 2D and 3D suggest our conjecture (1.18).

4. **Fundamental gaps of the GPE on bounded domains with other BCs.** Consider the time-independent GPE

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(\mathbf{x}) + \beta|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2\right]\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mu\phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ $(d = 1, 2, 3)$ is a bounded domain, $V(\mathbf{x})$ is a given convex real function and the wave function ϕ is normalized via (1.3).



FIGURE 3.2. Fundamental gaps in energy for the GPE with a harmonic potential in 1D with $\gamma_1 = 1$ (top), in 2D with $\gamma_1 = 1$ and $\gamma_2 = 2$ (middle), and in 3D with $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 1$ (bottom).

4.1. **Results for the periodic BC.** Here we take $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(0, L_j)$ satisfying $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\} > 0$, $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ in (4.1) and assume that ϕ satisfies the periodic BC. When $d = 1$, it corresponds to a BEC on a ring [10]; and when $d = 2$, it corresponds to a BEC on a torus. In this case, the ground state ϕ_g^β is defined the same as (1.8) provided that the set S is replaced by $S = \{\phi \,|\, \|\phi\|_2^2 := \int_\Omega |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 1,\ E(\phi) < \infty,\ \phi \text{ is periodic on } \partial\Omega\}$, and the first excited state ϕ_1^β is defined similarly.



FIGURE 3.3. Ground states (left) and first excited states (right) of the GPE in 1D with $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + 0.5\cos(x)$ (dot line) for different β.



FIGURE 3.4. Fundamental gaps in energy (left) and chemical potential (right) of the GPE in 1D with $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + V_0\cos(kx)$ satisfying $V_0k^2 = 0.5$ for different β, V_0 and k.

In this scenario, when $\beta = 0$, all the eigenfunctions can be obtained via the Fourier series [14, 15]. Similar to the case when $d = 1$, we have the following results for the ground state [14, 15].

Lemma 4.1. *For all $\beta \geq 0$, we have*

$$\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}) \equiv A_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^d L_j}}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$E_g(\beta) = \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta, \qquad \mu_g(\beta) = A_0^2\beta, \qquad \beta \geq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\phi_g^\beta \in S$. For any $\phi \in S$, we obtain

$$1 = \|\phi\|^2 = \left(\int_\Omega |\phi|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)^2 \leq \int_\Omega |\phi|^4 d\mathbf{x} \int_\Omega 1 d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{A_0^2}\int_\Omega |\phi|^4 d\mathbf{x}. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus we have

$$\int_\Omega |\phi|^4 d\mathbf{x} \geq A_0^2 = \int_\Omega A_0^4 d\mathbf{x} = \int_\Omega |\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x}. \tag{4.5}$$

When $\beta \geq 0$, we get

$$E(\phi) = \int \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}|\phi|^4\right] d\mathbf{x} \geq \frac{\beta}{2}\int |\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x})|^4 d\mathbf{x} = E(\phi_g^\beta), \qquad \phi \in S. \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore, ϕ_g^0 is the ground state when $\beta \geq 0$. Plugging (4.2) into (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we obtain (4.3). □

Using the assumption $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\} > 0$, we know that the first excited state will be the one which is excited in the x_1-direction. Thus we have

Lemma 4.2. *When $0 \leq \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, we have*

$$\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^0(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{2}A_0 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi x_1}{L_1}\right), \tag{4.7}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{3A_0^2}{4}\beta + o(\beta), \quad \mu_1(\beta) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{3A_0^2}{2}\beta + o(\beta). \tag{4.8}$$

When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, we have

$$\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^{MA}(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1^{MA}}{\beta}}\left[1 + \tanh\left((\frac{L_1}{4} - x_1)\sqrt{\mu_1^{MA}}\right) - \tanh\left((\frac{3}{4}L_1 - x_1)\sqrt{\mu_1^{MA}}\right)\right], \tag{4.9}$$

$$\mu_1(\beta) = \mu_1^{MA} + o(1), \qquad \mu_1^{MA} = A_0^2\beta + \frac{4A_0}{L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{8}{L_1^2}, \qquad \beta \gg 1, \tag{4.10}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = E_1^{MA} + o(1), \qquad E_1^{MA} = \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta + \frac{8A_0}{3L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{8}{L_1^2}. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. When $0 < \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate the first excited state $\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x})$ by $\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})$ which is the first excited state when $\beta = 0$, i.e. (4.7). Plugging (4.7) into (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we obtain (4.8). When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate it by the matched asymptotic approximation [14, 15], i.e. (4.9). Substituting (4.9) into (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we obtain (4.10) and (4.11). □

When $0 \leq \beta \ll 1$, subtracting (4.3) from (4.8); and when $\beta \gg 1$, subtracting (4.3) from (4.11) and (4.10); we can get the asymptotics of the fundamental gaps.

Theorem 4.1. *When $\sigma = 1$, $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$ $(d = 1, 2, 3)$ satisfying $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\}$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ in (4.1), i.e. GPE with the periodic BC, the fundamental gaps $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are increasing functions for $\beta \geq 0$ and they have the following asymptotics*

$$\delta_E(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{A_0^2}{4}\beta + o(\beta), \\ \frac{8A_0}{3L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{8}{L_1^2} + o(1), \end{cases} \qquad \delta_\mu(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta + o(\beta), & 0 \leq \beta \ll 1, \\ \frac{4A_0}{L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{8}{L_1^2} + o(1), & \beta \gg 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

which immediately imply that

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_E(\beta) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2}, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2}. \tag{4.13}$$

In order to verify the asymptotic results on the fundamental gaps in Theorem 4.1, we solve the time-independent GPE (4.1) numerically by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12] to find the ground and first excited states and their corresponding energy and chemical potentials. Figure 4.1 shows the ground and first excited states for different β in 1D, and Figure 4.2 depicts fundamental gaps in energy obtained numerically and asymptotically in 1D and 2D.



FIGURE 4.1. Ground states (left) and first excited states (right) of the GPE on $\Omega = (0, 2\pi)$ in 1D with the periodic BC for different β.

From Figure 4.2, we can see that the asymptotic results in Theorem 4.1 are very accurate in both weakly interaction regime, i.e. $0 \leq \beta \ll 1$, and strongly repulsive interaction regime, i.e. $\beta \gg 1$. In addition, our numerical results suggest that both $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are increasing functions for $\beta \geq 0$.

Based on above asymptotic results and numerical results, suppose $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$ $(d = 1, 2, 3)$ satisfying $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\}$ and the external potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ be convex, we speculate the following **gap conjecture** on the fundamental gaps of the GPE on Ω with the periodic BC

$$\delta_E(\beta) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi^2}{D^2}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{9\pi^4|\Omega|}{16D^2}, \\ \frac{8\beta^{1/2}}{3D|\Omega|^{1/2}}, & \beta \geq \frac{9\pi^4|\Omega|}{16D^2}, \end{cases} \quad \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi^2}{D^2}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi^4|\Omega|}{4D^2}, \\ \frac{4\beta^{1/2}}{D|\Omega|^{1/2}}, & \beta \geq \frac{\pi^4|\Omega|}{4D^2}, \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_E(\beta) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{D^2}, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{D^2}. \tag{4.15}$$

Rigorous mathematical justification for (4.14) and (4.15) is on-going.

4.2. **Results for homogeneous Neumann BC.** Here we take $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$ satisfying $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\} > 0$, $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ in (4.1) and assume that ϕ satisfies the homogeneous Neumann BC, i.e. $\partial_{\mathbf{n}}\phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ with $\mathbf{n}$ the unit outward normal vector. In this case, the ground state ϕ_g^β is defined the same as (1.8)



FIGURE 4.2. Fundamental gaps in energy of the GPE with the periodic BC in 1D (top) and 2D (bottom) for different β.

provided that the set S is replaced by $S = \{\phi \,|\, \|\phi\|_2^2 := \int_\Omega |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 1,\ E(\phi) < \infty,\ \partial_{\mathbf{n}}\phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$, and the first excited state ϕ_1^β is defined similarly.

In this scenario, when $\beta = 0$, all the eigenfunctions can be obtained via the cosine series [14, 15]. Similar to Lemma 4.1, we have the following results for the ground state and its corresponding energy and chemical potential.

Lemma 4.3. *For all $\beta \geq 0$, we have*

$$\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}) \equiv A_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^d L_j}}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$E_g(\beta) = \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta, \qquad \mu_g(\beta) = A_0^2\beta, \qquad \beta \geq 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Using the assumption $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\} > 0$, we know that the first excited state will be the one which is excited in the x_1-direction. Thus we have

Lemma 4.4. *When $0 \leq \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, we have*

$$\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^0(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{2}A_0 \cos\left(\frac{\pi x_1}{L_1}\right), \tag{4.18}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + \frac{A_0^2}{4}\beta + o(\beta), \quad \mu_1(\beta) = \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + \frac{3A_0^2}{2}\beta + o(\beta). \tag{4.19}$$

When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, we have

$$\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_1^{MA}(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1^{MA}}{\beta}} \tanh\left(\sqrt{\mu_1^{MA}}\left(\frac{L_1}{2} - x_1\right)\right), \tag{4.20}$$

$$\mu_1(\beta) = \mu_1^{MA} + o(1), \qquad \mu_1^{MA} = A_0^2\beta + \frac{2A_0}{L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{2}{L_1^2}, \qquad \beta \gg 1, \tag{4.21}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = E_1^{MA} + o(1), \qquad E_1^{MA} = \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta + \frac{4A_0}{3L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{2}{L_1^2}. \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. When $0 < \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate the first excited state $\phi_1^\beta(\mathbf{x})$ by $\phi_1^0(\mathbf{x})$ which is the first excited state when $\beta = 0$, i.e. (4.18). Plugging (4.18) into (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we obtain (4.19). When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate it by the matched asymptotic approximation [14,15], i.e. (4.20). Substituting (4.20) into (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we obtain (4.21) and (4.22). □

When $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, subtracting (4.17) from (4.19); and when $\beta \gg 1$, subtracting (4.17) from (4.22) and (4.21); we can get the asymptotics of the fundamental gaps.

Theorem 4.2. *When $\sigma = 1$, $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$ $(d = 1, 2, 3)$ satisfying $L_1 = \max\{L_1, \ldots, L_d\}$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ in (4.1), i.e. GPE with the homogeneous Neumann BC, the fundamental gaps $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are increasing functions for $\beta \ge 0$ and they have the following asymptotics*

$$\delta_E(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + \frac{A_0^2}{4}\beta + o(\beta), \\ \frac{4A_0}{3L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{2}{L_1^2} + o(1), \end{cases} \qquad \delta_\mu(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + \frac{A_0^2}{2}\beta + o(\beta), & 0 \le \beta \ll 1, \\ \frac{2A_0}{L_1}\beta^{1/2} + \frac{2}{L_1^2} + o(1), & \beta \gg 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.23}$$

which immediately imply that

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta \ge 0} \delta_E(\beta) \ge \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2}, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta \ge 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \ge \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2}. \tag{4.24}$$

In order to verify the asymptotic results on the fundamental gaps in Theorem 4.2, we solve the time-independent GPE (4.1) numerically by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12] to find the ground and first excited states and their corresponding energy and chemical potentials. Figure 4.3 shows the ground and first excited states for different β in 1D, and Figure 4.4 depicts fundamental gaps in energy obtained numerically and asymptotically in 1D and 2D.

From Figure 4.4, we can see that the asymptotic results in Theorem 4.2 are very accurate in both weakly interaction regime, i.e. $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, and strongly repulsive interaction regime, i.e. $\beta \gg 1$. In addition, our numerical results suggest that both $\delta_E(\beta)$ and $\delta_\mu(\beta)$ are increasing functions for $\beta \ge 0$.

Based on above asymptotic results and numerical results, suppose Ω be a convex bounded domain and the external potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ be convex, we speculate the following **gap conjecture** on the fundamental gaps of the GPE on Ω with the



FIGURE 4.3. Ground states (left) and first excited states (right) of the GPE in 1D with the homogeneous Neumann BC for different β.



FIGURE 4.4. Fundamental gaps in energy of the GPE in 1D (top) and in 2D (bottom) with the homogeneous Neumann BC for different β.

homogeneous Neumann BC

$$\delta_E(\beta) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{2D^2}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{9\pi^4|\Omega|}{64D^2}, \\ \frac{4\beta^{1/2}}{3D|\Omega|^{1/2}}, & \beta \geq \frac{9\pi^4|\Omega|}{64D^2}, \end{cases} \quad \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{2D^2}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi^4|\Omega|}{16D^2}, \\ \frac{2\beta^{1/2}}{D|\Omega|^{1/2}}, & \beta \geq \frac{\pi^4|\Omega|}{16D^2}, \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\delta_E^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_E(\beta) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{2D^2}, \qquad \delta_\mu^\infty := \inf_{\beta\geq 0} \delta_\mu(\beta) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{2D^2}. \tag{4.26}$$

Rigorous mathematical justification for (4.25) and (4.26) is on-going.

5. Ground state and energy asymptotics of NLSE with a box potential.

Here we take $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (0, L_j)$ with $L_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, d$, $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ in the NLSE (1.5) with the homogeneous Dirichlet BC, i.e. the NLSE with a box potential. We denote the ground state as $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ obtained from (1.8) for any given $\beta \geq 0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$, and the corresponding energy and chemical potential are denoted as $E_g(\beta,\sigma) = E(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma})$ and $\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) = \mu(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma})$, respectively. For $\sigma = 0$, then it collapses to the linear Schrödinger equation and the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,0}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ with $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ given in (2.2). Thus from now on, we assume $\sigma > 0$.

5.1. Ground state approximations with a fixed nonlinearity $\sigma > 0$.

When $0 \leq \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ by $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ given in (2.2). Thus we have

Lemma 5.1. *When $0 \leq \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}$ can be approximated as*

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}) = 2^{\frac{d}{2}} A_0 \prod_{j=1}^d \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_j}{L_j}\right), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{5.1}$$

and the corresponding energy and chemical potential can be approximated as

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) = A_2 + \frac{2^{d(\sigma+1)} A_0^{2\sigma}\beta}{(\sigma+1)\pi^d}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\sigma+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\sigma+2)}\right]^d + o(\beta), \tag{5.2}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) = A_2 + \frac{2^{d(\sigma+1)} A_0^{2\sigma}\beta}{\pi^d}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\sigma+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\sigma+2)}\right]^d + o(\beta), \qquad 0 \leq \beta \ll 1. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Plugging (5.1) into (1.7) with $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we get

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) = E(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}) \approx E(\phi_g^0) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma+1}|\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma+2}\right] d\mathbf{x}. \tag{5.4}$$

Substituting (5.1) into (5.4) and noting (1.12), after a detailed computation which is omitted here for brevity, we can obtain (5.2). Similarly, we can obtain (5.3) via (1.6) and (5.1). □

When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, the simplest approximation of the ground state is via the TF approximation.

Lemma 5.2. *When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, the TF approximation of the ground state can be given as*

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^{TF}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} A_0, & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

and the corresponding energy and chemical potential can be approximated as

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx E_g^{TF} = \frac{A_0^{2\sigma}}{\sigma+1}\beta, \quad \mu_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \mu_g^{TF} = A_0^{2\sigma}\beta, \quad \beta \gg 1. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Dropping the diffusion term in (1.5) with $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we get

$$\mu_g^{TF}\phi_g^{\rm TF}(\mathbf{x}) = \beta|\phi_g^{\rm TF}(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma}\phi_g^{\rm TF}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x}\in\Omega. \tag{5.7}$$

Solving the above equation, we get

$$\phi_g^{TF}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{\mu_g^{TF}}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}, \qquad \mathbf{x}\in\Omega. \tag{5.8}$$

Plugging it into the normalization condition (1.3), we get the second equation in (5.6) and thus we can obtain the TF approximation (5.5). Inserting (5.5) into (1.7) with $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, we obtain the first equation in (5.6). □

Note that the TF approximation (5.5) does not satisfy the homogeneous Dirichlet BC. Therefore, the approximation is not uniformly accurate. In fact, there exists a boundary layer along $\partial\Omega$ in the ground state when $\beta \gg 1$. Similar to the case of $\sigma = 1$ [14, 15], by using the matched asymptotic expansion method, we can obtain an approximate ground state which is uniformly accurate when $\beta \gg 1$.

Lemma 5.3. *When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, a uniformly accurate ground state approximation can be given as*

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^{MA}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{\mu_g^{MA}}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left[\varphi_\sigma\left(x_j\sqrt{\mu_g^{MA}}\right) + \varphi_\sigma\left((L_j - x_j)\sqrt{\mu_g^{MA}}\right) - 1\right], \tag{5.9}$$

where $\mu_g^{MA} \approx \mu_g(\beta,\sigma) = O(\beta)$ is the approximate chemical potential determined by the normalization condition (1.3) and $\varphi_\sigma(x)$ satisfies the problem

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\sigma(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_\sigma''(x) + \varphi_\sigma^{2\sigma+1}(x), & 0 < x < +\infty, \\ \varphi_\sigma(0) = 0, \qquad \lim\limits_{x\to+\infty}\varphi_\sigma(x) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. For the simplicity of notation, here we only prove it in 1D. Extension to higher dimensions can be done via dimension-by-dimension. When $d = 1$, there are two boundary layers in the ground state at $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L_1$, respectively. Near $x_1 = 0$, we introduce the new variables

$$\tilde{x} = x_1\sqrt{\mu_g(\beta,\sigma)}, \quad \varphi_\sigma(\tilde{x}) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\mu_g(\beta,\sigma)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}\phi(x_1), \quad x_1 \geq 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Substituting (5.11) into (1.5) with $d = 1$, $\Omega = (0, L_1)$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ and then removing all $\tilde{}$, we get (5.10). After one gets the solution of (5.10), an inner approximation of the ground state near $x_1 = 0$ is given as

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x_1) \approx \left(\frac{\mu_g(\beta,\sigma)}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}\varphi_\sigma\left(x_1\sqrt{\mu_g(\beta,\sigma)}\right), \qquad 0 \leq x_1 \ll 1. \tag{5.12}$$

Similarly, we can get the inner approximation of the ground state near $x_1 = L_1$ as

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(s) \approx \left(\frac{\mu_g(\beta,\sigma)}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}\varphi_\sigma\left(s\sqrt{\mu_g(\beta,\sigma)}\right), \quad 0 \leq s := L_1 - x_1 \ll 1. \tag{5.13}$$

Combining (5.12), (5.13) and the outer TF approximation (5.5) with $\mu_g^{\rm TF} = \mu_g(\beta,\sigma)$, using the matched asymptotic expansion method via denoting $\mu_g^{\rm TF}$ and $\mu_g(\beta,\sigma)$ by

$\mu_g^{\rm MA}$, we can obtain (5.9). □

When $\sigma = 1$, i.e. GPE, the solution of (5.10) is given as $\varphi_1(x) = \tanh(x)$ for $x \geq 0$ [14, 15]. For a $0 < \sigma \neq 1$, in general, the problem (5.10) can't be solved explicitly. By a mathematical analysis (see details in Appendix C), we have

Lemma 5.4. *For any $\sigma > 0$, the solution $\varphi_\sigma(x)$ of (5.10) is a strictly increasing function for $x \geq 0$ and satisfies $\varphi_\sigma'(0) = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1}}$. In addition, when $\sigma \to +\infty$, we have*

$$\varphi_\sigma(x) \to \varphi_\infty(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{2}x), & 0 \leq x < \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{4}, \\ 1, & x \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{4}. \end{cases} \tag{5.14}$$

Combining Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4, we get the width of the boundary layers in the ground state of the NLSE in strongly repulsive interaction regime, i.e. $\beta \gg 1$, is of order $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}\right)$ for any $\sigma > 0$, which is the same as that in the GPE case [14, 15].

5.2. **Ground state asymptotics when $\sigma \to \infty$.** Here we assume $\beta > 0$ a given constant and study the limit of the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}$ when $\sigma \to \infty$. For the simplicity of notation, we will only consider the NLSE in 1D, i.e. $d = 1$ on a bounded domain $\Omega = (0, L)$ with $L > 0$ a fixed constant. Then we have

Lemma 5.5. *For any given $\beta > 0$, when $\sigma \to \infty$, we have*

(i) if $0 < L < 1$, the ground state converges to the TF approximation

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x) \to \phi_g^{TF}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}, & 0 < x < L, \\ 0, & x = 0, L, \end{cases} \tag{5.15}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \frac{\beta}{L^{\sigma+1}} \to \infty, \quad E_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \frac{\beta}{(\sigma+1)L^{\sigma+1}} \to \infty; \tag{5.16}$$

(ii) if $L \geq 2$, the ground state converges to the linear approximation

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x) \approx \phi_g^0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right), \qquad 0 \leq x \leq L, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \frac{\pi^2}{2L^2} + \frac{2\beta}{\pi}\left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^\sigma \left[\frac{\Gamma(\sigma+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\sigma+2)}\right] \to \frac{\pi^2}{2L^2}, \tag{5.18}$$

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \frac{\pi^2}{2L^2} + \frac{2\beta}{(\sigma+1)\pi}\left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^\sigma \left[\frac{\Gamma(\sigma+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\sigma+2)}\right] \to \frac{\pi^2}{2L^2}; \tag{5.19}$$

(iii) if $1 \leq L < 2$, the ground state converges to

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x) \to \phi_g^\infty(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2(L-1)}), & 0 \leq x < L-1, \\ 1, & L-1 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \sin(\frac{\pi(L+x-2)}{2(L-1)}), & 1 < x \leq L, \end{cases} \tag{5.20}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) \to \frac{\pi^2}{8(L-1)^2}, \quad E_g(\beta,\sigma) \to \frac{\pi^2}{8(L-1)}. \tag{5.21}$$

Proof. For any given $\beta > 0$, when $\sigma \gg 1$, noting

$$a^{2\sigma} \to \begin{cases} 0, & |a| < 1, \\ 1, & a = 1, \\ +\infty, & |a| > 1, \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

thus to find the limit of $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x)$ when $\sigma \to \infty$, the main idea is to determine that which term on the left hand side of (1.5) is negligible when $\sigma >> 1$. In the region where $|\phi(x)| < 1$, the nonlinear term can be dropped and we get the linear approximation, whose solution is the sine function. In the region where $|\phi(x)| > 1$, the diffusion term can be dropped and we get the TF approximation, whose solution is a constant. Therefore, there are three possible cases about the limit $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x) \to \phi^{\rm app}(x)$ for $0 < x < L$ when $\sigma \to +\infty$: (i) $|\phi^{\rm app}(x)| \geq 1$ for all $x \in (0, L)$, (ii) $|\phi^{\rm app}(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in (0, L)$, and (iii) there exists $0 < x_c < L/2$ such that $|\phi^{\rm app}(x)| \geq 1$ for $x \in [x_c, L - x_c]$ and $|\phi^{\rm app}(x)| < 1$ otherwise.

(i) When $0 < L < 1$, the TF approximation suggests that $\phi^{\rm app}(x) = \sqrt{1/L} \geq 1$ for $0 < x < L$. Note that the requirement that $\inf\limits_{0<x<L} \phi^{\rm app}(x) \geq 1$ implies that $0 < L < 1$. Therefore, we get the necessary and sufficient condition about L so that (5.15) to be true.

(ii) When $L \geq 2$, the linear approximation suggests that $0 \leq \phi^{\rm app}(x) = \frac{2}{L} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) \leq 1$ for $0 \leq x \leq L$. Note that the requirement that $\sup\limits_{0<x<L} \phi^{\rm app}(x) \leq 1$ implies that $L \geq 2$. Therefore, we get the necessary and sufficient condition about L so that (5.17) to be true.

(iii) When $1 \leq L < 2$, we may expect neither the linear approximation nor the TF approximation is valid for $0 < x < L$. Instead, a combination of the linear approximation and TF approximation should be used. To be more specific, for any fixed $\sigma > 0$, when $\beta >> 1$, there exists a constant x_c^σ such that when $x \in (0, x_c^\sigma)$ or $x \in [L - x_c^\sigma, L]$, the linear approximation is used; and when $x \in [x_c^\sigma, L - x_c^\sigma]$, the TF approximation which is a constant, should be used. For $x \in [x_c^\sigma, L - x_c^\sigma]$, assume $\phi_g^\sigma(x) = A_\sigma$ with $A_\sigma > 0$ a constant to be determined, then the approximate solution in $(0, x_c^\sigma)$ must be $\phi_g^\sigma(x) = A_\sigma \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2x_c^\sigma}\right)$ to make the combined solution to be C^1 continuous. Now we need to determine the value of A_σ and x_c^σ. By the normalization condition (1.3), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} = \int_0^{\frac{L}{2}} |\phi_g^\sigma(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^{x_c^\sigma} |\phi_g^\sigma(x)|^2 dx + \int_{x_c^\sigma}^{\frac{L}{2}} |\phi_g^\sigma(x)|^2 dx = A_\sigma^2 \left(\frac{L}{2} - \frac{x_c^\sigma}{2}\right). \quad (5.23)$$

Thus, we have

$$A_\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L - x_c^\sigma}}. \quad (5.24)$$

In $[0, x_c^\sigma)$, dropping the nonlinear term in (1.5) and substituting the approximate solution into it, we get

$$\mu_g = \frac{\pi^2}{8(x_c^\sigma)^2}. \quad (5.25)$$

In $[x_c^\sigma, L - x_c^\sigma]$, dropping the diffusion term in (1.5), we get

$$\mu_g = \beta A_\sigma^{2\sigma}. \quad (5.26)$$

Combining (5.25) and (5.26), we obtain

$$A_\sigma^2 = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8\beta(x_c^\sigma)^2}\right)^{1/\sigma}. \quad (5.27)$$

Inserting (5.24) into (5.27), we have

$$\left(\frac{\pi^2}{8\beta(x_c^\sigma)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{L - x_c^\sigma}. \quad (5.28)$$

Letting $\sigma \to \infty$ and assuming $x_c^\sigma \to x_c$ and $A_\sigma \to A$, we have $1 = \frac{1}{L-x_c}$, which implies $x_c = L-1$ and we get $A = 1$ via (5.24) when $\sigma \to \infty$. Thus we get (5.20) when $\sigma \to \infty$. $\mu_g(\beta, \infty)$ can be computed from (5.25) when $\sigma \to \infty$ and $E_g(\beta, \infty)$ is from the definition (1.7) as

$$E_g(\beta, \infty) = \lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \int_0^L \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}|^2 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma+1}|\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}|^{2\sigma+2}\right] dx.$$

But the direct computation by using (5.20) may be unreasonable because we can't get the limit of $\int_{L-1}^1 |\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}|^{2\sigma+2} dx$ via (5.20). In fact, to get $E_g(\beta, \infty)$, we only need that the upper limit of $\int_{L-1}^1 |\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}|^{2\sigma+2} dx$ is bounded, which is true if $L > 1$ because

$$0 \le \limsup_{\sigma\to\infty} \beta \int_{L-1}^1 |\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}|^{2\sigma+2} dx \le \lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \mu_g(\beta, \sigma) = \frac{\pi^2}{8(L-1)^2}.$$

It follows that $\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \int_0^L \frac{\beta}{\sigma+1}|\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}|^{2\sigma+2} dx = 0$ and

$$E_g(\beta, \infty) = \lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}|^2 dx \approx \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi_g^{\beta,\infty}|^2 dx = \frac{\pi^2}{8(L-1)}.$$

□



FIGURE 5.1. Relative errors of the energy approximation of the ground state for the NLSE with $L = 1$ and $\sigma = 2$ in 1D with the box potential in the weak (left) and strong (right) interaction regimes.

In order to verify our asymptotic results in Lemma 5.5, we solve the time-independent NLSE (1.5) with $d = 1$, $\Omega = (0, L)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ numerically by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12] to find the ground states and their corresponding energy and chemical potentials. Figure 5.1 shows the relative error of the energy approximation of the ground state, i.e. $e(\beta) := \frac{|E_g(\beta,2) - E_g^{\rm app}|}{E_g(\beta,2)}$ when $\sigma = 2$ for different $\beta \ge 0$, Figure 5.2 plots the ground states with $\beta = 1$ for different σ and L, and Figure 5.3 depicts the ground state energy with $\beta = 1$ and $L = 1.2$ for different σ.

From Figures 5.1-5.3, our numerical results confirm our asymptotic results in Lemma 5.5.



FIGURE 5.2. Ground states of the NLSE in 1D with $\beta = 1$ and the box potential for different σ and $L = 0.9 < 1$ (upper left), $1 < L = 1.5 < 2$ (upper right) and $L = 2.0$ (bottom).



FIGURE 5.3. Ground state energy of the NLSE in 1D with $\beta = 1$, $L = 1.2$ and the box potential for different σ.

6. **Ground state and energy asymptotics of NLSE with a harmonic potential.** Here we take $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\gamma^2|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2}$ with $\gamma > 0$ a constant in the NLSE (1.5), i.e. the NLSE with a harmonic potential. We denote the ground state as $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ obtained from (1.8) for any given $\beta \geq 0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$, and the

corresponding energy and chemical potential are denoted as $E_g(\beta,\sigma) = E(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma})$ and $\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) = \mu(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma})$, respectively. For $\sigma = 0$, then it collapses to the linear Schrödinger equation and the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,0}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ with $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ given in (3.2). Thus from now on, we assume $\sigma > 0$.

6.1. **Ground state approximations with fixed a nonlinearity $\sigma > 0$.** When $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, we can approximate the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ by $\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})$ given in (3.2).

Lemma 6.1. *When $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, i.e. weakly repulsive interaction regime, the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}$ can be approximated as*

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{\gamma x_j^2}{2}}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{6.1}$$

and the corresponding energy and chemical potential can be approximated as

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) = \frac{d\gamma}{2} + \frac{\beta}{(\sigma+1)^{\frac{d+2}{2}}} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d\sigma}{2}} + o(\beta), \tag{6.2}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) = \frac{d\gamma}{2} + \frac{\beta}{(\sigma+1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d\sigma}{2}} + o(\beta), \qquad 0 \le \beta \ll 1. \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. Plugging (6.1) into (1.7) with $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\gamma^2|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_g(\beta,\sigma) &= E(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}) \approx E(\phi_g^0) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 + V(\mathbf{x})|\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma+1}|\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma+2}\right] d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Substituting (6.1) into (6.4), after a detailed computation which is omitted here for brevity, we can obtain (6.2). Similarly, we can obtain (6.3) via (1.6) and (6.1). □

When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, the simplest approximation of the ground state is via the TF approximation. Then we have

Lemma 6.2. *When $\beta \gg 1$, i.e. strongly repulsive interaction regime, the TF approximation of the ground state can be given as*

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^{TF}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\mu_g^{\mathrm{TF}} - \gamma^2|\mathbf{x}|^2/2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}, & \gamma^2|\mathbf{x}|^2 \le 2\mu_g^{\mathrm{TF}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

and the corresponding energy and chemical potential can be approximated as

$$\mu_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \mu_g^{\mathrm{TF}} = \left(\frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\gamma^d}{2^{\frac{d}{2}-1}dC_dB(\frac{d}{2},1+\frac{1}{\sigma})}\right)^{\frac{2\sigma}{2+d\sigma}}, \tag{6.6}$$

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx E_g^{\mathrm{TF}} = \frac{2+d\sigma}{2\sigma+2+d\sigma}\mu_g^{\mathrm{TF}}, \qquad \beta \gg 1, \tag{6.7}$$

where $B(a,b)$ is the standard beta function and C_d is defined in (3.15).

Proof. When $\beta \gg 1$, dropping the diffusion term in (1.5), we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma^2|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2}\phi_g^{\mathrm{TF}}(\mathbf{x}) + \beta|\phi_g^{\mathrm{TF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma}\phi_g^{\mathrm{TF}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mu_g^{\mathrm{TF}}\phi_g^{\mathrm{TF}}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{6.8}$$

Solving the above equation, we get (6.5). Substituting (6.5) into the normalization condition (1.3), after a detailed computation, we get (6.6). Plugging (6.5) into (1.7), we get

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) = E(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}) = \mu(\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}) - \frac{\sigma\beta}{1+\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma+2} d\mathbf{x}$$
$$\approx \mu_g^{\mathrm{TF}} - \frac{\sigma\beta}{1+\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_g^{\mathrm{TF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{2\sigma+2} d\mathbf{x}. \tag{6.9}$$

Inserting (6.5) and (6.6) into (6.9), we obtain (6.7). □

6.2. **Ground state asymptotics when $\sigma \to \infty$.** Here we assume $\beta > 0$ a given constant and study the limit of the ground state $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}$ when $\sigma \to \infty$. For the simplicity of notation, we will only consider the NLSE in 1D, i.e. $d = 1$ with a harmonic potential $V(x) = \frac{\gamma^2 x^2}{2}$ for $\gamma > 0$ a constant. Then we have

Lemma 6.3. *For any given $\beta > 0$, when $\sigma \to \infty$, we have*

(i) if $0 < \gamma \leq \pi$, the ground state converges to the linear approximation

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x) \approx \phi_g^0(x) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{\gamma x^2}{2}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$E_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\beta}{(\sigma+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \to \frac{\gamma}{2}, \quad \mu_g(\beta,\sigma) \approx \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\beta}{(\sigma+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \to \frac{\gamma}{2}; \tag{6.11}$$

(ii) if $\gamma > \pi$, the ground state converges to

$$\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x) \to \phi_g^{\gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(-x), & x < -x_\gamma, \\ 1, & -x_\gamma \leq x \leq x_\gamma, \\ \varphi(x), & x > x_\gamma, \end{cases} \tag{6.12}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is the unique positive ground state of the following linear eigenvalue problem with μ the corresponding eigenvalue

$$\begin{cases} \mu\varphi(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi''(x) + \frac{\gamma^2 x^2}{2}\varphi(s), & x > x_\gamma, \\ \varphi(x_\gamma) = 1, \quad \varphi'(x_\gamma) = 0, & \lim\limits_{x\to+\infty}\varphi(x) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{6.13}$$

with the constant $x_\gamma \geq 0$ determined by

$$x_\gamma + \int_{x_\gamma}^{\infty} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.5, in order to find the limit of $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x)$ when $\sigma \to \infty$, the main idea is to determine that which term on the left hand side of (1.5) is negligible when $\sigma >> 1$. In the region where $|\phi(x)| < 1$, the nonlinear term can be dropped and we get the linear approximation, whose solution is the Gaussian function. In the region where $|\phi(x)| > 1$, the diffusion term can be dropped and we get the TF approximation. Therefore, there are two possible cases about the limit $\phi_g^{\beta,\sigma}(x) \to \phi^{\mathrm{app}}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ when $\sigma \to +\infty$: (i) $|\phi^{\mathrm{app}}(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, (ii) there exists a $x_c \geq 0$ such that $|\phi^{\mathrm{app}}(x)| > 1$ for $x \in [-x_c, x_c]$ and $|\phi^{\mathrm{app}}(x)| < 1$ otherwise.

(i) When $0 < \gamma \leq \pi$, the linear approximation suggests that $\phi^{\mathrm{app}}(x) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{\gamma x^2}{2}} \leq 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that the requirement that $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \phi^{\mathrm{app}}(x) \leq 1$ implies that $0 < \gamma \geq \pi$.

Therefore, we get the necessary and sufficient condition about γ so that (6.10) to be true.

(ii) When $\gamma > \pi$, we may expect neither the linear approximation nor the TF approximation is valid for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Instead, a combination of the linear approximation and TF approximation should be used. To be more specific, for any fixed $\sigma > 0$, when $\beta >> 1$, there exists a constant $x_c^\sigma \geq 0$ such that when $x \in (-\infty, x_c^\sigma) \cup (x_c^\sigma, \infty)$, the linear approximation is used; and when $x \in [-x_c^\sigma, x_c^\sigma]$, the TF approximation $\phi(x) = \left(\frac{\mu_g - \gamma^2 x^2/2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}$ is used, which goes to the constant 1 as $\sigma \to \infty$. Therefore, we can just use the constant function 1 in the case. The constant x_c^σ can be determined by the normalization condition (1.3). Letting $\sigma \to \infty$ and assuming $x_c^\sigma \to x_\gamma$, we get (6.12) when $\sigma \to \infty$. Plugging (6.12) into the normalization condition (1.3), we obtain (6.14). □



FIGURE 6.1. Ground states of the NLSE in 1D with $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 3 < \pi$ (top) and $\gamma = 6 > \pi$ (bottom) for different $\sigma \geq 0$.

In order to verify our asymptotic results in Lemma 6.3, we solve the time-independent NLSE (1.5) with $d = 1$, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and $V(x) = \gamma^2 x^2/2$ numerically by using the normalized gradient flow via backward Euler finite difference discretization [9–12] to find the ground states and the corresponding energy. Figure 6.1 plots the ground states with $\beta = 1$ for different σ and γ, Figure 6.2 shows the numerical solution of (6.13) and Figure 6.3 depicts the energy asymptotics with $\sigma = 2$ and



FIGURE 6.2. Numerical solutions of (6.13) for different γ, where the circles denote the points $(x_\gamma, 1)$.



FIGURE 6.3. Plots of the ground state energy of the NLSE (1.5) in 1D with a harmonic potential: $\sigma = 2$ –quintic nonlinearity for the TG gas and $\gamma = 3$ for different β (top); and $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 3$ for different nonlinearities, i.e. σ (bottom).

$\gamma = 3$ for different β and the energy asymptotics with $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 3$ for different σ. From Figure 6.2, we can observe numerically that the solution of (6.13) has the

following asymptotics:

$$x_\gamma \to \begin{cases} 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \qquad \phi_g^\gamma(x) \to \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}}, & \gamma \to \pi, \\ \phi_g^\infty(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \le 0.5, \\ 0, & |x| > 0.5, \end{cases} & \gamma \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{6.15}$$

Again, rigorous mathematical justification is on-going.

7. **Conclusions.** Fundamental gaps in energy and chemical potential of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with cubic nonlinearity) were obtained asymptotically and numerically either in the whole space or on bounded domains with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition or periodic boundary condition or homogeneous Neumann boundary condition. Based on our asymptotic and numerical results, we formulated a gap conjecture of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. In addition, we also studied asymptotically ground states and their corresponding energy and chemical potential of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with different nonlinearities. We observed a bifurcation in the ground state asymptotics when the nonlinearity component $\sigma \to \infty$. Extensive numerical results were presented to confirm and support our asymptotic results.

Appendix A. **Some useful approximations used in the proof of Lemma 2.2.** For $L > 0$ a fixed constant, when $a \gg 1$, we have

$$\int_0^L \tanh(ax)dx \approx L - \frac{\ln 2}{a}, \qquad \int_0^L \tanh^2(ax)dx \approx L - \frac{1}{a}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\int_0^L \tanh^3(ax)dx \approx L - \frac{1+2\ln 2}{2a}, \qquad \int_0^L \tanh^4(ax)dx \approx L - \frac{4}{3a}. \tag{A.2}$$

Combining these approximations and

$$\tanh(ax)\tanh(a(L-x)) = \frac{\tanh(ax)+\tanh(a(L-x))}{\tanh(aL)} - 1,$$

we can get

$$\int_0^L \tanh(ax)\tanh(a(L-x))dx \approx L - \frac{2\ln 2}{a}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\int_0^L \tanh^2(ax)\tanh(a(L-x))dx \approx L - \frac{1+\ln 2}{a}, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\int_0^L \tanh^2(ax)\tanh^2(a(L-x))dx \approx L - \frac{2}{a}, \tag{A.5}$$

$$\int_0^L \tanh^3(ax)\tanh(a(L-x))dx \approx L - \frac{1+4\ln 2}{2a}. \tag{A.6}$$

All the above approximations are exponentially accurate when $a \to \infty$.

Appendix B. **Proof of Lemma 2.3.** When $0 \le \beta \ll 1$, noticing (2.3) and (2.2), we assume

$$\phi_g^\beta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}) + \beta\varphi_g(\mathbf{x}) + o(\beta), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \tag{B.1}$$

Plugging (B.1) into (2.1), noticing (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5), and dropping all terms at $O(\beta^2)$ and above, we obtain

$$\Delta\varphi_g(\mathbf{x}) + 2A_2\varphi_g(\mathbf{x}) = 2(\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}))^3 - \frac{3^d A_0^2}{2^{d-1}}\phi_g^0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \qquad \varphi_g(\mathbf{x})|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \tag{B.2}$$

Substituting (2.2) into (B.2), we can solve it analytically. For the simplicity of notations, here we only present the case when $d = 1$. Extensions to $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ are straightforward and the details are omitted here for brevity.

When $d = 1$, we have

$$\varphi_g(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2L_1}}{8\pi^2} \sin\left(\frac{3\pi x}{L_1}\right), \qquad 0 \le x \le L_1. \tag{B.3}$$

Plugging (B.3) into (B.1) and using the normalization condition (1.3), we get

$$\phi_g^\beta(x) \approx \sqrt{\frac{64\pi^4 - \beta^2 L_1^2}{32\pi^4 L_1}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L_1}\right) + \frac{\beta\sqrt{2L_1}}{8\pi^2} \sin\left(\frac{3\pi x}{L_1}\right), \quad 0 \le x \le L_1. \tag{B.4}$$

Inserting (B.4) into (1.7) and (1.6) with $\sigma = 1$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$, we have

$$E_g(\beta) = \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + \frac{3\beta}{4L_1} - \frac{\beta^2}{16\pi^2} + o(\beta^2), \tag{B.5}$$

$$\mu_g(\beta) = \frac{\pi^2}{2L_1^2} + \frac{3\beta}{2L_1} - \frac{3\beta^2}{16\pi^2} + o(\beta^2). \tag{B.6}$$

Similarly, we can obtain approximations for the first excited state

$$\phi_1^\beta(x) \approx \sqrt{\frac{1024\pi^4 - \beta^2 L_1^2}{512\pi^4 L_1}} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi x}{L_1}\right) + \frac{\beta\sqrt{2L_1}}{32\pi^2} \sin\left(\frac{6\pi x}{L_1}\right), \quad 0 \le x \le L_1, \tag{B.7}$$

$$E_1(\beta) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{3\beta}{4L_1} - \frac{\beta^2}{64\pi^2} + o(\beta^2), \tag{B.8}$$

$$\mu_1(\beta) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{3\beta}{2L_1} - \frac{3\beta^2}{64\pi^2} + o(\beta^2). \tag{B.9}$$

Subtracting (B.5) and (B.6) from (B.8) and (B.9), respectively, we obtain (2.22) when $d = 1$ immediately. □

Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 5.4. Multiplying (5.10) by $\varphi_\sigma'(x)$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_\sigma^2(x)\right)' = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(\varphi_\sigma'(x)\right)^2\right)' + \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\left(\varphi_\sigma^{2\sigma+2}(x)\right)', \qquad x > 0. \tag{C.1}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\varphi_\sigma^2(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_\sigma'(x)\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma+1}\varphi_\sigma^{2\sigma+2}(x) + C, \qquad x \ge 0, \tag{C.2}$$

where C is the integrating constant. When $x \to +\infty$, we have $\varphi_\sigma(x) \to 1$ and $\varphi_\sigma'(x) \to 0$. So we get $C = \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}$. Letting $x = 0$ in (C.2), we get

$$\varphi_\sigma'(0) = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1}}, \qquad \sigma > 0. \tag{C.3}$$

For $\sigma > 0$, by using the maximum principle, we have $0 \le \varphi_\sigma(x) < 1$ for $x \ge 0$. When $\sigma \to \infty$, we have $\varphi_\sigma^{2\sigma+1}(x) \to 0$ for $x \ge 0$. Therefore, when $\sigma \to \infty$, noting (C.3), the problem (5.10) converges to the following linear problem:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\infty(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_\infty''(x), & x > 0, \\ \varphi_\infty(0) = 0, \qquad \varphi_\infty'(0) = \sqrt{2}. \end{cases} \tag{C.4}$$

Solving this problem, we obtain (5.14) immediately. □

To illustrate the solution $\varphi_\sigma(x)$ of (5.10), Figure C.1 plots $\varphi_\sigma(x)$ obtained numerically for different σ. From this figure, we can see that: (i) For any $\sigma > 0$, $\varphi_\sigma(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function. (ii) When $\sigma \to +\infty$, $\varphi_\sigma(x)$ converges to $\varphi_\infty(x)$ uniformly for $x \geq 0$ (cf. Figure C.1).



FIGURE C.1. Plots of the solution $\varphi_\sigma(x)$ of the problem (5.10) for $\sigma = 1, 3, 10, \infty$ (with the order from right to left).

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Academic Research Fund of Ministry of Education of Singapore grant No. R-146-000-196-112.

REFERENCES

[1] M. J. Ablowitz, *Nonlinear Dispersive Waves, Asymptotic Analysis and Solitons*, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
[2] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman and E. A. Cornell, *Observation of Bose-Einstein condensation in a dilute atomic vapor*, Science, **269** (1995), 198–201.
[3] B. Andrews and J. Clutterbuck, *Proof of the fundamental gap conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (2011), 899–899.
[4] M. Ashbaugh, *The fundamental gap*, Workshop on Low Eigenvalues of Laplace and Schrödinger Operators, American Institute of Mathematics, Palo Alto, California (2006).
[5] M. S. Ashbaugh and R. Benguria, *Optimal lower bound for the gap between the first two eigenvalues of one-dimensional schrödinger operators with symmetric single-well potentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **105** (1989), 419–424.
[6] P. W. Atkins, *Physical Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, 1978.
[7] W. G. Aulbur, L. Jönsson, J. W. Wilkins, *Quasiparticle calculations in solids*, Solid State Physics, **54** (2000), 1–218.
[8] W. Bao, *Mathematical models and numerical methods for Bose-Einstein condensation*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Seoul 20140), **IV** (2014), 971–996.
[9] W. Bao and M.-H. Chai, *A uniformly convergent numerical method for singularly perturbed nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, Commun. Comput. Phys., **4** (2008), 135-160.
[10] W. Bao and Y. Cai, *Mathematical theory and numerical methods for Bose-Einstein condensation*, Kinet. Relat. Models, **6** (2012), 1–135.
[11] W. Bao, I.-L. Chern and F. Y. Lim, *Efficient and spectrally accurate numerical methods for computing ground and first excited states in Bose-Einstein condensates*, J. Comput. Phys., **219** (2006), 836–854.
[12] W. Bao and Q. Du, *Computing the ground state solution of Bose–Einstein condensates by a normalized gradient flow*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., **25** (2004), 1674–1697.

[13] W. Bao, D. Jaksch and P.A. Markowich, *Numerical solution of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for Bose-Einstein condensation*, J. Comput. Phys., **187** (2003), 318–342.
[14] W. Bao and F. Y. Lim, *Analysis and computation for the semiclassical limits of the ground and excited states of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math. (Amer. Math. Soc.), **67** (2009), 195–215.
[15] W. Bao, F. Y. Lim and Y. Zhang, *Energy and chemical potential asymptotics for the ground state of Bose-Einstein condensates in the semiclassical regime*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin., **2** (2007), 495–532.
[16] W. Bao, W. Tang, *Ground state solution of Bose-Einstein condensate by directly minimizing the energy functional*, J. Comput. Phys., **187** (2003), 230–254.
[17] M. Berg, *On condensation in the free-boson gas and the spectrum of the Laplacian*, J. Stat. Phys., **31** (1983), 623–637.
[18] E. Cancès, *Mathematical models and numerical methods for electronic structure calculation*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Seoul 20140), **IV** (2014), 1017–1042.
[19] E. Cancès, R. Chakir and Y. Maday, *Numerical analysis of nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Sci. Comput., **45** (2010), 90–117.
[20] P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky, *Principles of condensed matter physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[21] R. Y. Chiao, T. K. Gustafson, and P. L. Kelley. *Self-focusing: Past and Present Fundamentals and Prospects (Topics in Applied Physics Series Volume 114)*, Springer New York, New York, 2009.
[22] F. Dalfovo and S. Stringari, *Bosons in anisotropic traps: Ground state and vortices*, Phys. Rev. A, **53** (1996), 2477–2485.
[23] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases*, Rev. Modern Phys., **71** (1999), 463–512.
[24] M. Girardeau, *Relationship between systems of impenetrable bosons and fermions in one dimension*, J. Math. Phys., **1** (1960), 516–523.
[25] L. Hedin, *New method for calculating the one-particle Green's function with application to the electron-gas problem*, Phys. Rev., **139** (1965), A796-A823.
[26] J. R. Hook and H.E. Hall, *Solid State Physics*, John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
[27] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Inhomogeneous electron gas*, Phys. Rev., **136** (1964), B864.
[28] R.K. Jackson and M.I. Weinstein, *Geometric analysis of bifurcation and symmetry breaking in a Gross-Pitaevskii equation*, J. Stat. Phys., **116** (2004), 881–905.
[29] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects*, Phys. Rev., **140** (1965), A1133.
[30] A. J. Leggett, *Bose-einstein condensation in the alkali gases: Some fundamental concepts*, Rev. Modern Phys., **73** (2001), 307–356.
[31] I. N. Levine, *Quantum chemistry (Seventh edition)*, Pearson, Boston, 2014.
[32] E. H. Lieb and W. Liniger, *Exact analysis of an interacting bose gas. I. the general solution and the ground state*, Phys. Rev., **130** (1963), 1605–1616.
[33] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. P. Solovej and J. Yngvason, *The Mathematics of the Bose Gas and its Condensation*, Oberwolfach seminars 34, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
[34] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer and J. Yngvason, *Bosons in a trap: A rigorous derivation of the gross-pitaevskii energy functional*, Phys. Rev. A, **61** (2000), 759-771.
[35] P. A. Markowich, C. Ringhofer and C. Schmeiser, *Semiconductor Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
[36] P. A. Markowich, *Applied Partial Differential Equations: A Visual Approach*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.
[37] R. G. Parr and W. Yang. *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules*, Oxford University Press, 1989.
[38] A. C. Phillips. *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*. Wiley, Chichester;New York;, 2003.
[39] L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
[40] E. Schrödinger, *An undulatory theory of the mechanics of atoms and molecules*, Phys. Rev., **28** (1926), 1049–1070.
[41] J. Simons, *An Introduction to Theoretical Chemistry*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
[42] I. Singer, B. Wong, S.-T. Yau and S. S.-T. Yau, *An estimate of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator.*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci., **12** (1985), 319–333.

[43] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Selection of the ground state for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Rev. Math. Phys., **16**, (2004), 977–1071.
[44] C. Sulem and P. L. Sulem, The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation, Self-focusing and Wave Collapse, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
[45] L. Tonks, *The complete equation of state of one, two and three-dimensional gases of hard elastic spheres*, Phys. Rev., **50** (1936), 955–963.
[46] M. I. Weinstein, *Nonlinear schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates*, Comm. Math. Phys, **87** (1982), 567–576.

Received xxxx 20xx; revised xxxx 20xx.

E-mail address: matbaowz@nus.edu.sg
E-mail address: a0103426@u.nus.edu